

Scotland's Rural College

## Genetic parameters of Visual Image Analysis primal cut carcass traits of commercial prime beef slaughter animals

Moore, KL; Mrode, R; Coffey, MP

*Published in:*  
Animal

*DOI:*  
[10.1017/S1751731117000489](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1751731117000489)

First published: 15/03/2017

*Document Version*  
Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication](#)

### *Citation for pulished version (APA):*

Moore, KL., Mrode, R., & Coffey, MP. (2017). Genetic parameters of Visual Image Analysis primal cut carcass traits of commercial prime beef slaughter animals. *Animal*, 11(10), 1653 - 1659.  
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S1751731117000489>

### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

### **Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

**Genetic parameters of Visual Image Analysis primal cut carcass traits of commercial prime beef slaughter animals**

K. L. Moore<sup>1</sup>, R. Mrode<sup>1</sup> and M. P. Coffey<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Animal and Veterinary Sciences, Scotland's Rural College (SRUC), Peter Wilson Building, Kings Buildings, West Mains Road, Edinburgh, EH9 3JG, United Kingdom*

Corresponding author: Kirsty Moore. Email: [Kirsty.Moore@sruc.ac.uk](mailto:Kirsty.Moore@sruc.ac.uk)

Short title: Genetic parameters of beef primal cuts

**Abstract**

Visual image Analysis (VIA) of carcass traits provides the opportunity to estimate carcass primal cut yields on large numbers of slaughter animals. This allows carcasses to be better differentiated and farmers to be paid based on the primal cut yields. It also creates more accurate genetic selection due to high volumes of data which enables breeders to breed cattle that better meet the abattoir specifications and market requirements. In order to implement genetic evaluations for VIA primal cut yields, genetic parameters must first be estimated and that was the aim of this study. Slaughter records from the UK prime slaughter population for VIA carcass traits was available from two processing plants. After edits, there were 17,765 VIA carcass records for six primal cut traits, carcass weight as well as the EUROP conformation and fat class grades. Heritability estimates after traits were adjusted for age ranged from 0.32 (0.03) for EUROP fat to 0.46 (0.03) for VIA Topside primal cut yield. Adjusting the VIA primal cut yields for carcass weight reduced the heritability estimates, with estimates of primal cut yields ranging from 0.23 (0.03) for Fillet to

0.29 (0.03) for Knuckle. Genetic correlations between VIA primal cut yields adjusted for carcass weight were very strong, ranging from 0.40 (0.06) between Fillet and Striploin to 0.92 (0.02) between Topside and Silverside. EUROP conformation was also positively correlated with the VIA primal cuts with genetic correlation estimates ranging from 0.59 to 0.84, while EUROP fat was estimated to have moderate negative correlations with primal cut yields, estimates ranged from -0.11 to -0.46. Based on these genetic parameter estimates, genetic evaluation of VIA primal cut yields can be undertaken to allow the UK beef industry to select carcasses that better meet abattoir specification and market requirements.

**Keywords:** Visual image Analysis (VIA), genetic parameter estimation, prime slaughter beef cattle, carcass traits

## **Implications**

Visual image Analysis primal cut yields are moderately heritable with sufficient genetic variability to allow response to selection. Primal cut yields were estimated to have strong, but not unity, genetic correlations and breeders will be able to genetically select animals that produce carcasses with a greater proportion of the weight in the more valuable primal cuts. This will improve genetic progress for abattoir carcass traits as the EBVs will be based on actual abattoir carcass data, rather than proxy traits as in the pedigree sector. As carcass traits are end of life traits these genetic parameters will also enable genomic selection to be implemented to increase genetic progress estimated early in life.

## **Introduction**

Genetic improvement in the UK beef industry has traditionally been implemented in the purebred pedigree sector through voluntary performance recording. Selection for carcass traits has been via Estimated Breeding Values (EBVs) produced from recording proxy traits. Live weight at 400 days of age is a proxy for carcass weight and ultrasound scans for muscle and fat depth are proxies for carcass conformation and fat. However due to the cost of ultrasound scanning, only a relatively small proportion of the purebred population have these carcass traits recorded (Moore *et al.*, 2014). Whilst genetic improvement is made in the commercial sector through the purchase of superior purebred bulls, the market signals to pedigree breeders are diluted since commercial farmers are paid based for the carcass on the EUROP classification system.

In the European Union, beef carcasses are assessed using the EUROP classification system (European Council regulations 1208/81 and 2930/81). Carcasses are valued per kg carcass weight at the base rate price (varies depending on breed, age and the animals type; steer, heifer, cow etc.) with a penalty or premium added based the EUROP conformation and fat class of the carcass. EUROP classification uses letters E (excellent), to P (poor) to grade conformation with particular emphasis on the round, back and shoulder. A five point numeric scale is used to classify the amount of subcutaneous fat on the carcass and in the thoracic cavity, where the number one represents none or low fat cover and number five represents very high fat. Often the EUROP fat scale of five points is subdivided into three subclasses (-, = or +) (MLCSL, 2014).

Advances in imaging technologies such as Visual Image Analysis (VIA) provide the opportunity to mechanically grade carcasses, at line speed, for the EUROP traits but in addition for individual primal cut yields. This creates the underlying framework to undertake genetic evaluation for these traits using large volumes of commercial abattoir data. In Ireland, VIA carcass traits have been investigated (Pabiou *et al.*, 2009; 2011a; 2011b; 2012) and found to have moderate to high heritabilities with strong, but not unity, positive genetic correlations between the VIA primal cuts. In these studies, carcass weight and EUROP traits conformation and fat were also considered and found to have moderate heritability. Carcass weight and EUROP conformation was estimated to have moderate to strong positive genetic correlations with the individual VIA primal cuts, whilst EUROP fat had moderately negative genetic correlations with the individual VIA primal cuts. Given the strong genetic correlations between the VIA primal cuts, Pabiou *et al.* (2011a; 2011b) combined the individual primal cuts into three categories based on the value of the primal cut (high, medium, low). Again moderate to high heritabilities were estimated.

The objective of this study was to use VIA carcass information from the cross bred UK commercial prime slaughter population to estimate genetic parameters for individual primal cut yields, carcass weight and EUROP conformation and fat class to enable subsequent genetic evaluation of carcass traits.

## **Material and methods**

### *Data Sources*

Carcass data (carcass measurements, animal identification, dates of birth and death, animal breed and type of slaughter animal (i.e. steer or young bull)) was collected

101 from two abattoirs fitted with VBS2000 VIA machines (E+V Technology,  
102 <http://www.eplusv.de/>), installed to grade carcasses at slaughter. One side of the  
103 carcass was positioned on a holding frame while a 2D and 3D image was taken by  
104 the VIA machine's mounted digital camera using previously calibrated lighting  
105 arrangements. The resulting images are analysed using E+V software and prediction  
106 equations to predict six individual primal cuts from the hindquarter; Topside,  
107 Silverside, Striploin, Fillet, Knuckle and Rump, as well as carcass weight and the  
108 EUROP classification for conformation and fat. The predicted VIA primal cut yields  
109 were then multiplied by 2 to represent both sides of the carcass. Carcass weight and  
110 all six primal cut yields were estimated in kg, while EUROP conformation and fat was  
111 recorded according to EUROP standards and then recoded to a 15 point numerical  
112 scale described by Hickey *et al.* (2007). To increase the scale of variation the  
113 converted conformation and fat measurements was multiplied by three making the  
114 range 1-45.

115

116 Pedigree and additional animal information was obtained from the British Cattle  
117 Movement Service (BCMS) database, where it has been compulsory to register all  
118 dairy and beef cattle in the UK since 1996. For every animal, the BCMS database  
119 records the dam, date of birth, details of each farm movement by the animal, breed,  
120 sex and dates of death. In addition the sire can be recorded, but is not compulsory,  
121 and is recorded in approximately a third of registered animals. Additional pedigree  
122 information was also available from the UK dairy milk recording organizations in the  
123 UK as well as the pedigree herd books for a number of different dairy and beef  
124 breeds. All sources of pedigree are combined to form a single UK dairy and beef  
125 'super pedigree' that contains all known animals in the UK as well as all known

pedigree, i.e. if sire is recorded in one data source and dam in another source, the super pedigree is the only pedigree that contains both the sire and the dam.

#### *Calculation of proportion of each breed*

The animals' breed is supplied in several sources of data. This is usually just a single breed code and does not enable the precise breed make up to be captured, especially of cross breeds, and thus allow breed and hybrid vigour effects to be accounted for. Therefore, for all animals in the super pedigree the proportion of each breed (PEB) is calculated. The PEB of each animal is simply half the PEB of the sire plus half the PEB of the dam. For example, an animal with a sire that is 100% Limousin and a dam that is 50% Holstein Friesian : 50% Limousin will end up with a PEB of 75% Limousin : 25% Holstein Friesian. This approach is iterative, with parents first needing PEB calculated before progeny PEB can be computed. Where one or both parents are unknown a set of assumptions are applied to obtain an estimate of the PEB. When both parents are unknown and if the animal is included in the BASCO database (database storing pedigree and performance recording information for some beef breeds in the UK) with breed make up recorded, then the PEB is set to match the breed make up from BASCO. If the animal is not recorded in BASCO, then it is assumed to be 100% of the breed code supplied in BCMS data. In cases where one parent is known and the other is unknown, the same assumptions as above apply but with the PEB adjusted for the contribution of the known parent. For example, if the breed code in the BCMS data is Limousin, the sire is unknown and the dam is 100% Holstein Frisian then the PEB for the animal would be 50% Limousin : 50% Holstein Frisian.

### *Heterosis and recombination coefficients*

To enable the effects of hybrid vigour to be considered in the analysis, heterosis and recombination effects were calculated for a limited number of breed ‘type’ categories. With such a large number of breeds represented in the data, it was not practical to model heterosis effects for every combination, therefore breeds were grouped into four breed types; dairy, native UK beef breeds, continental beef breeds and remaining breeds (of which there were few). The PEB was then concatenated into these breed types such that a 50% Limousin : 50% Charolais would be 100% continental. For each animal and each combination of breed type (six) heterosis and recombination coefficients were computed where A and B represent the proportion of genes from the two breed types considered and s and d denote sire and dam, respectively (Van der Werf and De Boer, 1989).

$$\text{Heterozygosis}_{AB} = A_d \cdot B_s + A_s \cdot B_d$$

$$\text{Recombination loss}_{AB} = A_s \cdot B_s + A_d \cdot B_d$$

### *Dataset edits*

A total of 111,394 prime slaughter commercial carcasses records were available from two abattoir sites. Animals were defined as being prime slaughter if the abattoir classification was one of three categories: heifer (H), steer (S) and young bull (YB). All animals were slaughtered between 2012 and 2014 – although one site only had kill data for a portion of 2014. Records were removed if: the sire was unknown (n=74,844); the age at slaughter was less than 365 days (12 months) (n=13) or greater than 1095 days (36 months) for heifers and steers (n=1,698) and greater than 730 (24 months) for young bulls (n=44); the animal was an outlier (defined by  $\pm$  three



standard deviations from the mean within sire breed and category (H;S;YB)) for any of the VIA primal cut yields, carcass weight or EUROP conformation and fat traits (n=886); the sire was not purebred (purebred defined as being 87.5+% of one breed) (n=670); the breed of the sire was from a numerically small breed (defined as breeds with less than 200 animals in the dataset) (n=668); the animal belonged to a paternal half sib family with less than three half sibs (n=4,955); the animal came from a birth herd with less than three records (n=465); the animal was in a finishing herd with less than three records (n=665); there were fewer than three animals in the birth season – herd contemporary group, where season was defined in four month blocks (n=3,314); the animal was in a single sire contemporary group (n=5,296). After the above edits, only 111 records remained from the new VIA abattoir site, so these were removed leaving only one site in the analysis.

#### *Final dataset*

After edits, 17,765 records remained from one abattoir, covering 11 different sire breeds from 660 birth herds and 668 finishing herds. Of these records there were 4,758 heifers, 7,642 steers and 5,365 young bulls. Animals were born between February 2010 and August 2013 and slaughtered between July 2012 and September 2014. The population consisted of 1,379 (7.8%) purebred animals and 16,386 (92.2%) crossbred animals. Based on the sire breed, the more numerous breeds were Charolais (25.2%), Limousin (18.8%), Simmental (18.6%), Angus (17.7%) and Holstein Frisian (10.7%). The remaining seven breeds combined accounted for 9.3% of the edited dataset. A three generation pedigree (n=87,788) was extracted from the bovine super pedigree for all animals remaining in the edited dataset.

201    *Statistical analysis*

202    The statistical model was developed using the PROC MIXED procedure in the SAS  
203    software (SAS Institute, 2007). Fixed effects were determined from a sire model  
204    using backwards elimination to remove terms that were not significant. Significance  
205    was determined using the F test and  $P < 0.05$ . First order interactions were also fitted.  
206    The factors considered as fixed class effects were abattoir sex category (H, S or YB),  
207    birth season herd contemporary groups (BSH), finishing herd and kill season (defined  
208    as four month periods, starting from February). Considered as co-variate effects were  
209    age at slaughter (linear and quadratic), dam age in days (linear and quadratic), the  
210    percentage of dairy breeds in the dam, and the heterosis and recombination  
211    coefficients for each breed type combination (described above).

212

213    For all traits abattoir sex category, BSH, finishing herd, kill season, slaughter age  
214    (linear and quadratic), linear dam age and percentage of dairy breeds in the dam  
215    were significant. Heterosis effects between the dairy, native beef and continental  
216    beef breed types were significant, but not those crosses involving the remaining  
217    breed types, most likely due to the small number of animals in the UK that cannot be  
218    grouped into the three main breed types. Recombination effects were significant for  
219    dairy \* native beef breed type crosses, and for most of the traits the native beef \*  
220    remaining breed type crosses. Significant first order interactions were abattoir sex  
221    category with the following terms; kill season, age at slaughter and percentage of  
222    dairy in the dam. In addition, interactions with age at slaughter with percentage of  
223    dairy in the dam and dam age and the interaction between dam age and the  
224    percentage of dairy in the dam were significant.

225

Uni-variate co-variance components were estimated using single trait animal models (ASReml; Gilmour *et al.*, 2009). Relationships amongst animals were accounted for using a 5 generation relationship matrix with unknown ancestors assigned to genetic groups as defined by the breed types used to estimate heterosis and recombination co-efficients. The mixed linear model can be written as

$$y = Xb + ZQg + Zu + e$$

where **y** is the vector of observations, **b** is the vector of fixed effects, **g** is the vector of genetic groups, **u** is the vector of random effects, **e** is the vector of residual effects and the **X**, **Z** and **Q** matrices are the respective incidence matrices. The fixed effects included in the model to estimate genetic parameters were those found to be significant as described earlier. To estimate genetic and residual co-variances between the traits a series of bi-variate models were undertaken using ASReml. For the primal cut yield traits; Topside, Silverside, Knuckle, Rump, Striploin and Fillet a separate set of analyses were undertaken using the same methodology but also after adjusting for carcass weight.

## Results

### *Phenotypic data*

A summary of the phenotypic data is contained in table 1. Animals were slaughtered on average at 627 days (20.5 months) of age with an average carcass weight of 354 kg. In total the six VIA primal cut yields sum to 104.72 kg and account for 29.6% of the total carcass weight. The coefficients of variation for all primal cut yields and

carcass weight ranged from 0.16 to 0.19. Coefficients of variation for slaughter age, conformation class and fat score were higher ranging from 0.25 to 0.27. Average conformation and fat values were 24.75 and 24.19 respectively, corresponding to a value of *R* (good muscle development) for EUROP conformation class and to a value of three (average fat cover over the carcass with slight deposits of fat in the thoracic cavity) in the EUROP fat class.

### *Heritability*

All carcass traits were moderately heritable with heritability estimates ranging from 0.32 for EUROP fat to 0.46 for the Topside primal yield (Table 2). Whilst the heritability estimates were similar for all primal cut yields, there were differences in the variances. The primal cut yield for Fillet has the lowest phenotypic variation at 0.43; it was also seen in Table 1 to be the smallest primal cut of those considered in this study. Both Topside and Silverside primal cuts were observed to be the larger primal cuts in the study and also showed the higher phenotypic variances. However, the genetic coefficient of variation for all six primal yields was approximately 0.13. Carcass weight and EUROP conformation and fat class all had higher phenotypic variances estimates and had genetic coefficient of variation of 0.06, 0.11 and 0.15, respectively. When primal cut yields were also adjusted for carcass weight the heritability estimates were lower, but still moderate, ranging from 0.23 for Fillet to 0.29 for Knuckle primal cut yield. Phenotypic variances were also reduced.

### *Genetic and phenotypic correlations*

Strong positive genetic correlations between carcass weight and all the primal cut yields were estimated when traits were age adjusted (Table 3). These correlations

were much reduced and only low to moderate in size when the primal cut yields were also adjusted for carcass weight (Table 4). Strong positive phenotypic correlations between these traits were also estimated, but once primal cut yields were adjusted for carcass weight, phenotypic correlations were not significantly different from zero.

All six primal cut yields had strong positive - almost unity - genetic correlations to each other when adjusted for slaughter age. When the primal cut yields were also adjusted for carcass weight, the strength of the correlations reduced, but was still strong and positive; genetic correlations ranged from 0.40 between Fillet and Striploin to 0.92 between Topside and Silverside. This same trend can be observed with estimates of the phenotypic correlations; when the primal cut yields were adjusted for slaughter age and carcass weight phenotypic correlations ranged from 0.22 between Fillet and Striploin to 0.80 between Topside and Silverside.

EUROP conformation was estimated to have a moderate positive genetic correlation with carcass weight, and strong positive genetic correlations with the primal cut yields (regardless of whether carcass weight was adjusted for). A moderate negative genetic correlation was estimated with EUROP fat. In all cases, EUROP fat was estimated to have low to moderate negative genetic correlations with carcass weight and the primal cut yields. Generally the size of the genetic correlations with primal cut yields increased when they were adjusted for carcass weight. Moderate positive phenotypic trends were estimated between EUROP conformation, and carcass weight and primal cut yields (regardless of whether primal cut yields were adjusted for carcass weight). Generally, the phenotypic correlations between EUROP fat and the other traits were not significantly different from zero or were low and negative.

After adjusting for carcass weight, the phenotypic correlations between EUROP fat and the primal cut yields were low and negative. All correlation estimates show that animals that have genetically heavier carcasses will also have increased primal cut yields, increased muscling of the carcass and decreased subcutaneous fat.

## **Discussion**

The objective of this study was to estimate genetic parameters for abattoir VIA primal cut yields of UK commercial cross bred animals and determine if the traits are suitable for genetic evaluation. This was the first study in the UK estimating genetic parameters from large numbers of commercial cattle with VIA carcass traits recorded. Outside of the UK, there has only been a small number of studies estimating genetic parameters for individual carcass cut yield (Cantet *et al.*, 2003; Pabiou *et al.*, 2009) or with traits predicted from digital imaging (Pabiou *et al.*, 2011a). With 17,765 carcass records, this study is one of the largest studies to consider primal cut yields with most studies having less than 1,000 animals (Cantet *et al.*, 2003, Pabiou *et al.*, 2009; 2011b) and only one other sizable data set with Pabiou *et al.* (2011a) analysing 52,722 carcasses.

The UK beef industry consists of many different breeds with 94% of the prime slaughter population being cross bred and through cross breeding, dairy genetics contributes 28% of the genes in the prime slaughter population (Todd *et al.*, 2011). The dataset used in this study was reflective of the prime slaughter population described by Todd *et al.* (2011). In the edited dataset the average carcass weight of 354kg was similar to the 2013 national average of 341kg reported by EBLEX (2014).

Heritability estimates were reported in Table 2. The six primal cut yields were estimated to have moderate heritabilities in both models considered in this study. Adjusting for carcass weight reduced the heritability and phenotypic variance estimates of primal cut yields. However the primal cut yields were adjusted for carcass weight to avoid encouraging the industry to select for larger animals, instead setting a breeding objective of increasing the proportion of weight in valuable primal cut yields for a given carcass weight means more valuable carcasses and not just bigger carcasses. Estimates in this study were comparable to those reported in the literature. Pabiou *et al.* 2009 considered carcass dissection data from an experimental (n=413) and a commercial (n=635) data set similar to the UK data set used in this study. The Primal cut yields were adjusted for age and heritability estimates in the experimental data set ranged from 0.14 (0.16) for Rib roast to 0.86 (0.23) for the Round primal cut. Similarly, in the commercial data set heritability of hind quarter cuts ranged from 0.40 (0.19) for Rib roast to 0.63 (0.20) for Fillet. In a much larger commercial data set, Pabiou *et al.* (2011a) estimated heritabilities ranging from 0.17 (0.018) for very high value cuts (Rib roast, Striploin and Fillet) to 0.40 (0.024) for high value cuts (Sirloin and the round) predicted from VIA. This same study considered the traits separately for heifers and steers and heritability estimates were generally higher for heifers and showed strong positive genetic correlations between the sexes (correlations ranged from 0.54 (0.14) to 0.76 (0.07)).

There have been more studies reported for carcass weight and EUROP conformation and fat. The moderate heritability estimated for carcass weight is similar to the average estimate of 0.40 reported by Rios Utrera and Van Vleck (2004) from a review of 56 studies. Hickey *et al.* (2007) reported carcass weight heritability

estimates from a number of different breeds in the Irish population ranging from 0.17 in Angus and Belgian Blue cattle to 0.65 in Charolais cattle. In a Finland study considering 5 beef cattle breeds, carcass weight heritability estimates ranged from 0.39 to 0.48 (Kause *et al.*, 2015). A moderate heritability was estimated for both EUROP conformation and fat class and these estimates are similar to those reported by Pabiou *et al.* (2011a). In a Swedish population, Eriksson *et al.* (2003) estimated heritability for conformation of 0.22 in Hereford and 0.34 in Charolais. In the same study heritability estimates for carcass fat were 0.38 and 0.27 for Charolais and Hereford, respectively. In Finland, Kause *et al.* (2015) estimated heritabilities of between 0.30 to 0.44 for conformation and 0.29 to 0.44 for fat. The study by Hickey *et al.* (2007) showed a wide variation in carcass conformation and fat heritability estimates across the breeds considered. For carcass conformation, heritabilities ranged from 0.04 for Friesian to 0.36 for Limousin. For carcass fat, heritability estimates ranged from 0.00 for Limousin to 0.40 for Simmental.

This study found that there were moderate to strong genetic correlations between the carcass traits analysed (Table 3 and 4). Carcass weight was found to be strongly positively correlated with carcass conformation (0.53) and negatively correlated with carcass fat (-0.14). Conformation and fat were also had a moderately negative correlation (-0.27). Pabiou *et al.* (2011a) also found these genetic relationships in steers, although with a lower genetic correlation between carcass weight and conformation (0.35). Hickey *et al.* (2007) found positive genetic correlations between carcass weight and conformation (0.11) but, in contrast to our findings, estimated positive genetic correlation between carcass weight and fat (0.26), and between conformation and fat (0.44). Kause *et al.* (2015) also estimated positive correlations



between carcass weight and fat with genetic correlation estimates ranging from 0.08 to 0.28. In agreement with our results, other studies also found positive genetic correlations between conformation and carcass weight, with values ranging from 0.25 to 0.66 (Van der Werf *et al.*, 1989; Hirooka *et al.*, 1996; Liinamo *et al.*, 1999; Parkkonen *et al.*, 2000; Kause *et al.*, 2015) in dairy and dual purpose cattle herds.

Very strong, almost unity, genetic correlations (0.92+) were estimated between the VIA primal cut yields. Adjusting for carcass weight reduced the correlations with estimates ranging from 0.40 between Fillet and Striploin to 0.92 between Topside and Silverside. These strong positive correlations suggests that selection pressure for a given primal cut will result in increased yields for all six primal cuts, but as carcass weight has been adjusted for in the model, selection will not indirectly select for heavier carcass weights. High positive genetic correlations were also estimated by Pabiou *et al.* (2009) from dissection carcass data with estimates ranging from 0.67 between Fillet and Striploin and 0.93 between Fillet and Round. Using predicted yields Pabiou *et al.* (2011a) estimated genetic correlations ranging from 0.45 between low value cuts and medium value cuts to 0.89 between high value cuts and very high value cuts.

## **Acknowledgements**

The authors gratefully acknowledge funding from Innovate UK and BBSRC, as well as the industry partners Anglo Beef Processors and the British Limousin Cattle Society, and Rural payments Agency's for access to British Cattle Movement Service (BCMS) data. The authors also acknowledge Samantha Wilkinson and Juan Nani for assisting in the preliminary analysis.

## References

- EBLEX 2014. EBLEX UK 2014 cattle yearbook. Retrieved on 1<sup>st</sup> December 2015 from <http://www.eblex.org.uk/wp/wp-content/uploads/2014/07/UK-Yearbook-2014-Cattle-240714.pdf>.
- Cantet RJC, Pedro Steibel J, Birchmeier AN and Santa Coloma LF 2003. Bayesian estimation of genetic parameters for growth and carcass traits of grass-fed beef cattle by Full Conjugate Gibbs. *Archiv für Tierzucht* 46, 435-443.
- Eriksson S, Näsholm A, Johansson K and Philipsson J 2003. Genetic analyses of field-recorded growth and carcass traits for Swedish beef cattle. *Livestock Production Science* 84, 53–62.
- Gilmour AR, Gogel BJ, Cullis BR and Thompson R 2009. ASReml User Guide Release 3.0. Hemel Hempstead: VSN International Ltd.
- Hickey JM, Keane MG, Kenny DA, Cromie AR and Veerkamp RF 2007. Genetic parameters for EUROP carcass traits within different groups of cattle in Ireland. *Journal of Animal Science* 85, 314–321.
- Hirooka H, Groen AF, Matsumoto M 1996. Genetic parameters for growth and carcass traits in Japanese brown cattle estimated from field records. *Journal of Animal Science* 74(9), 2112-6.
- Kause A, Mikkola L, Strandén I and Sirkko K 2015. Genetic parameters for carcass weight, conformation and fat in five beef cattle breeds. *Animal* 9(1), 35-42.
- Liinamo AE, Ojala M and van Arendonk JAM 1999. Relationships of body weight and carcass quality traits with first lactation milk production in Finnish Ayrshire cows. *Livestock Production Science* 60, 271–279.
- MLCSL 2014. Beef carcass classification. Retrieved on 1<sup>st</sup> February 2014 from <http://www.mlcsl.co.uk/publications/Beef-carcass-classification.pdf>.

427 Moore K, Pritchard T, Wilkinson S, Mrode R, Pearston F, Kaseja K, Wall E, Coffey M 2014.  
 428 Developments in Genetic Prediction of Carcase Merit in Limousin Beef Cattle in the  
 429 UK. Proceedings of the 10<sup>th</sup> World Congress of Genetics Applied to Livestock  
 430 Production, 17-22<sup>nd</sup> August 2014, Vancouver, Canada, communication 244.  
 431 Pabiou T, Fikse WF, Amer PR, Cromie AR, Näsholm A and Berry DP 2011a. Genetic  
 432 variation in wholesale carcass cuts predicted from digital images in cattle. *Animal*  
 433 5(11), 1720-1727.  
 434 Pabiou T, Fikse WF, Amer PR, Cromie AR, Näsholm A and Berry DP 2011b. Use of digital  
 435 images to predict carcass cut yields in cattle. *Livestock Science* 137, 130-140.  
 436 Pabiou T, Fikse WF, Amer PR, Cromie AR, Näsholm A and Berry DP 2012. Genetic  
 437 relationships between carcass cut weights predicted from video image analysis and  
 438 other performance traits in cattle. *Animal* 6(9), 1389-97.  
 439 Pabiou T, Fikse WF, Näsholm A, Cromie AR, Drennan MJ, Keane MG, and Berry DP 2009.  
 440 Genetic parameters for carcass cut weight in Irish beef cattle. *Journal of Animal*  
 441 *Science* 87, 3865–3876.  
 442 Parkkonen P, Liinamo AE, and Ojala M 2000. Estimates of genetic parameters for carcass  
 443 traits in Finnish Ayrshire and Holstein-Friesian. *Livestock Production Science* 64, 203–  
 444 213.  
 445 Todd D, Woolliams J and Roughsedge T 2011. Gene flow in a national cross-breeding beef  
 446 population. *Animal* 5, 1874-1886.  
 447 Utrera AR and Van Vleck LD 2004. Heritability estimates for carcass traits of cattle: a review.  
 448 *Genetics and Molecular Research* 3(3), 380-94.  
 449 Van Der Werf JHJ and De Boer W 1989. Influence of Non additive Effects on Estimation of  
 450 Genetic Parameters in Dairy Cattle. *Journal of Dairy Science* 72 (10), 2606-2614.  
 451  
 452

**Table 1** Overall mean, standard deviation, minimum, maximum and coefficient of variation for Visual Image Analysis (VIA) carcass traits from 17,765 cross bred prime slaughter commercial cattle

Trait <sup>1</sup>	N	Mean	SD	Min	Max	CV
Slaughter age (days)	17765	627.0	157.81	365.0	1095.0	0.25
Carcass Weight (kg)	17765	353.91	55.04	189.10	550.20	0.16
Topside (kg)	17765	23.64	4.01	12.96	37.74	0.17
Silverside (kg)	17765	28.50	5.37	14.86	46.26	0.19
Knuckle (kg)	17765	14.61	2.51	6.80	23.70	0.17
Rump (kg)	17765	15.08	2.65	6.90	23.54	0.18
Striploin (kg)	17765	16.44	2.90	8.02	26.18	0.18
Fillet (kg)	17765	6.46	1.14	3.46	10.92	0.18
Conformation (1-42 scale)	17765	24.75	6.79	3.00	42.00	0.27
Fat (1-42 scale)	17765	24.19	6.46	3.00	42.00	0.27

N = Number of animals; Mean = Mean of the trait; SD = Standard deviation of trait; Min = Minimum trait value; Max = Maximum trait value; CV = coefficient of variation

<sup>1</sup> Slaughter age is the age of the animal at slaughter; Carcass weight is the weight of the carcass at slaughter; Topside, Silverside, Knuckle, Rump, Striploin and Fillet are all primal cut yields from the total carcass predicted using VIA; Conformation and Fat is the EUROP conformation and fat classes, predicted using VIA and converted to a numerical 1-42 scale; higher values represent more muscular carcasses for conformation and higher levels of fat for fat

**Table 2** Genetic, residual and phenotypic variances and trait heritability (standard errors parenthesis) estimates for Visual Image Analysis (VIA) carcass traits from 17,765 cross bred prime slaughter commercial cattle

Trait <sup>1</sup>	$\sigma_a^2$	$\sigma_e^2$	$\sigma_p^2$	$h^2$
Age adjusted				
Carcass Weight	368.20 (28.96)	481.45 (23.44)	849.66 (11.21)	0.43 (0.03)
Topside	2.31 (0.18)	2.77 (0.14)	5.07 (0.07)	0.46 (0.03)
Silverside	3.63 (0.28)	4.58 (0.23)	8.22 (0.11)	0.44 (0.03)
Knuckle	0.86 (0.07)	1.07 (0.05)	1.93 (0.03)	0.45 (0.03)
Rump	0.91 (0.07)	1.22 (0.06)	2.13 (0.03)	0.43 (0.03)
Striploin	0.92 (0.08)	1.36 (0.06)	2.28 (0.03)	0.40 (0.03)
Fillet	0.18 (0.01)	0.25 (0.01)	0.43 (0.01)	0.42 (0.03)
Conformation	5.53 (0.45)	7.30 (0.36)	12.83 (0.17)	0.43 (0.03)
Fat	6.36 (0.61)	13.58 (0.51)	19.94 (0.25)	0.32 (0.03)
Weight adjusted				
Topside	0.25 (0.03)	0.77 (0.02)	1.02 (0.01)	0.25 (0.03)
Silverside	0.38 (0.04)	1.03 (0.04)	1.42 (0.02)	0.27 (0.03)
Knuckle	0.15 (0.02)	0.37 (0.01)	0.53 (0.01)	0.29 (0.03)
Rump	0.15 (0.02)	0.41 (0.01)	0.57 (0.01)	0.27 (0.03)
Striploin	0.10 (0.01)	0.26 (0.01)	0.37 (0.01)	0.28 (0.03)
Fillet	0.02 (0.003)	0.07 (0.002)	0.09 (0.001)	0.23 (0.03)

$\sigma_a^2$  = Genetic variance component;  $\sigma_e^2$  = Residual variance component;  $\sigma_p^2$  = Phenotypic variance component;  $h^2$  = heritability

<sup>1</sup> Carcass weight is the weight (kg) of the carcass at slaughter; Topside, Silverside, Knuckle, Rump, Striploin and Fillet are all primal cut yields(kg) predicted from the total carcass using VIA; Conformation and Fat is the EUROP conformation and fat classes, predicted using VIA and converted to a numerical 1-42 scale; higher values represent more muscular carcasses for conformation and higher levels of fat for fat

474 **Table 3** Genetic (above diagonal) and phenotypic (below diagonal) correlations estimates (standard errors in parenthesis) for age  
 475 adjusted Visual Image Analysis (VIA) primal cut yield carcass traits from 17,765 cross bred prime slaughter commercial cattle

Trait <sup>1</sup>	CWT	TOP	SIL	KNU	RUM	STR	FIL	CON	FAT
CWT		0.95 (0.01)	0.94 (0.01)	0.91 (0.01)	0.91 (0.01)	0.94 (0.01)	0.94 (0.01)	0.53 (0.04)	-0.14 (0.06)
TOP	0.89 (0.002)		0.99 (0.002)	0.98 (0.003)	0.95 (0.01)	0.95 (0.01)	0.98 (0.003)	0.70 (0.03)	-0.26 (0.06)
SIL	0.91 (0.002)	0.96 (0.001)		0.97 (0.004)	0.95 (0.01)	0.95 (0.01)	0.98 (0.003)	0.72 (0.03)	-0.27 (0.06)
KNU	0.85 (0.003)	0.95 (0.001)	0.92 (0.001)		0.96 (0.01)	0.94 (0.01)	0.96 (0.01)	0.73 (0.03)	-0.26 (0.06)
RUM	0.86 (0.002)	0.89 (0.01)	0.87 (0.002)	0.89 (0.002)		0.95 (0.01)	0.94 (0.01)	0.73 (0.03)	-0.21 (0.06)
STR	0.92 (0.002)	0.89 (0.002)	0.89 (0.002)	0.87 (0.002)	0.88 (0.002)		0.93 (0.01)	0.73 (0.03)	-0.16 (0.06)
FIL	0.88 (0.002)	0.95 (0.001)	0.95 (0.001)	0.90 (0.002)	0.84 (0.003)	0.85 (0.003)		0.65 (0.03)	-0.29 (0.06)
CON	0.44 (0.01)	0.56 (0.01)	0.60 (0.01)	0.57 (0.01)	0.60 (0.01)	0.58 (0.01)	0.51 (0.01)		-0.27 (0.05)
FAT	0.17 (0.01)	0.02 (0.01)	0.03 (0.01)	0.02 (0.01)	0.08 (0.01)	0.14 (0.01)	0.03 (0.01)	0.00 (0.01)	

476 CWT = Carcass weight; TOP = Topside; SIL = Silverside; KNU = Knuckle; RUM = Rump; STR = Striploin; FIL = Fillet; Conformation = Conformation; Fat =  
 477 Fat

478 <sup>1</sup> Carcass weight is the weight (kg) of the carcass at slaughter; Topside, Silverside, Knuckle, Rump, Striploin and Fillet are all primal cut yields(kg) predicted  
 479 from the total carcass using VIA; Conformation and Fat is the EUROP conformation and fat classes, predicted using VIA and converted to a numerical 1-42  
 480 scale; higher values represent more muscular carcasses for conformation and higher levels of fat for fat

481

482

483 **Table 4** Genetic (above diagonal) and phenotypic (below diagonal) correlations estimates (standard errors in parenthesis) for  
484 weight adjusted Visual Image Analysis (VIA) primal cut yield carcass traits from 17,765 cross bred prime slaughter commercial  
485 cattle

Trait <sup>1</sup>	CWT	TOP	SIL	KNU	RUM	STR	FIL	CON	FAT
CWT		0.17 (0.06)	0.25 (0.06)	0.28 (0.06)	0.23 (0.06)	0.11 (0.06)	0.22 (0.06)	0.53 (0.04)	-0.14 (0.06)
TOP	-0.09 (0.01)		0.92 (0.02)	0.87 (0.02)	0.69 (0.04)	0.56 (0.05)	0.84 (0.03)	0.78 (0.03)	-0.43 (0.06)
SIL	0.02 (0.01)	0.80 (0.003)		0.85 (0.02)	0.69 (0.04)	0.57 (0.05)	0.82 (0.02)	0.84 (0.02)	-0.44 (0.06)
KNU	0.03 (0.01)	0.78 (0.003)	0.67 (0.01)		0.75 (0.03)	0.60 (0.04)	0.73 (0.04)	0.79 (0.03)	-0.35 (0.06)
RUM	0.02 (0.01)	0.53 (0.01)	0.43 (0.01)	0.58 (0.005)		0.65 (0.04)	0.60 (0.05)	0.77 (0.03)	-0.21 (0.06)
STR	0.01 (0.01)	0.42 (0.01)	0.37 (0.01)	0.40 (0.01)	0.47 (0.01)		0.40 (0.06)	0.81 (0.03)	-0.11 (0.06)
FIL	0.04 (0.01)	0.74 (0.003)	0.75 (0.003)	0.59 (0.01)	0.36 (0.01)	0.22 (0.01)		0.59 (0.04)	-0.46 (0.06)
CON	0.44 (0.01)	0.45 (0.01)	0.56 (0.01)	0.44 (0.01)	0.51 (0.01)	0.53 (0.01)	0.31 (0.01)		-0.27 (0.05)
FAT	0.17 (0.01)	-0.30 (0.01)	-0.30 (0.01)	-0.25 (0.01)	-0.13 (0.01)	-0.03 (0.01)	-0.26 (0.01)	0.00 (0.01)	

486 CWT = Carcass weight; TOP = Topside; SIL = Silverside; KNU = Knuckle; RUM = Rump; STR = Striploin; FIL = Fillet; Conformation = Conformation; Fat =  
487 Fat

488 <sup>1</sup> Carcass weight is the weight (kg) of the carcass at slaughter; Topside, Silverside, Knuckle, Rump, Striploin and Fillet are all primal cut yields(kg) predicted  
489 from the total carcass using VIA; Conformation and Fat is the EUROP conformation and fat classes, predicted using VIA and converted to a numerical 1-42  
490 scale; higher values represent more muscular carcasses for conformation and higher levels of fat for fat

